

EXHIBIT C



In re Application

Inventors: Meier, et al.

Appl. No.: 09/425,992

Confirm. No.: 1267

Filed: October 21, 1999

Title: TELESTRATOR SYSTEM

PATENT APPLICATION

Art Unit: 2711

Customer No. 23910

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited in the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231, on February 8, 2001.


Burt Magen, Reg. No. 37,170

Signature Date: February 8, 2001

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.56

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

It is requested that the information identified in this statement be considered by the Examiner and made of record in the above-identified application. This statement is not intended to represent that a search has been made or that the information cited in the statement is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56. If this is a continuation, divisional or continuation-in-part application, it is understood that the Examiner will consider all information which was considered by the Office in a parent application. MPEP §609. Such information therefore is not listed herein unless it is desired that the information be printed on a patent issuing from the subject application.

Enclosed with this statement are the following:

- Form PTO-1449. The Examiner is requested to initial the form and return it to the undersigned in accordance with M.P.E.P. §609.
- A copy of each cited document as required by 37 C.F.R. §1.98. Copies are not submitted of documents previously submitted by the applicant in a parent application from which benefit under 35 U.S.C. §120 is claimed, 37 C.F.R. §1.98(d)(1), with an information disclosure statement submitted in the parent application which complies with the Sept. 8, 2000 or subsequent revision of 37 C.F.R. §1.98(a-c). If any of the cited/submitted documents is in a foreign language, a concise explanation of relevance is provided pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.98(a)(3)(i). For foreign language documents cited in a search report by a foreign patent office, the requirement for a concise explanation of relevance is satisfied by the submission herewith of an English language version of the search report. MPEP §609A(3). If a written English-language translation of a non-English language document, or portion thereof, is within the possession, custody or control of, or is readily available to any individual designated in §1.56(c), a copy of the translation accompanies this statement, 37 C.F.R. §1.98(a)(3)(ii), and satisfies the requirement for a concise explanation of relevance, MPEP §609A(3).

This statement should be considered because:

37 C.F.R. §1.97(b). This statement qualifies under 37 C.F.R. §1.97, subsection (b) because:

- (1) It is being filed within three months of the filing date of an application other than a continued prosecution application under § 1.53(d);
-- OR --
- (2) It is being filed within 3 months of entry of a national stage;
-- OR --
- (3) It is being filed before the mailing date of the first Office Action on the merits,
-- OR --
- (4) It is being filed before the mailing date of the first Office Action after the filing of a Request for Continued Examination under 37 C.F.R. §1.114.

— **37 C.F.R. §1.97(c).** Although it may not qualify under subsection (b), this statement qualifies under 37 C.F.R. §1.97, subsection (c) because:

- (1) It is being filed before the mailing date of a FINAL office action, a Notice of Allowance, or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the subject application, whichever occurs first.

— *-- AND (check at least one of the following) --*

- (1) It is accompanied by a STATEMENT as set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.97(e).
-- OR --
- (2) It is accompanied by the \$180 fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.17(p).

— **PTA Statement under 37 C.F.R. §704(d).** Each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart application and that this communication was not received by any individual designated in §1.56(c) more than thirty days prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement.

Fee Authorization. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge underpayment of any additional fees or credit any overpayment associated with this communication to Deposit Account No. 06-1325. A duplicate copy of this authorization is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

FLIESLER, DUBB, MEYER & LOVEJOY LLP

By: Burt Magen
Burt Magen, Reg. No. 37,175

Date: February 8, 2001

Form PTO-1449 (Substitute)		U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE		Attorney Docket Number SPTV-01038US0	Serial/Patent Number 09/425,992		
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT <i>(Use several sheets if necessary)</i>				Applicant/Patent Owner Meier, et al.			
				Filing/Issue Date October 21, 1999	Group Art Unit 2711		
PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE PATENTS							
Examiner Initial		Patent Number	Issue Date	Inventor	Class	Subclass	Filing Date
1		3,580,993	05/25/71	Sandorf et al.	178	6	09/27/68
2		3,595,987	07/27/71	Vlahos	178	5.2 R	02/20/69
3		3,840,699	10/08/74	Bowerman	178	6.8	05/25/72
4		3,973,239	08/03/76	Kakumoto et al.	340	146.3	10/15/74
5		4,064,528	12/20/77	Bowerman	358	22	10/07/74
6		4,067,015	01/03/78	Mogavero et al.	343	225	07/11/75
7		4,084,184	04/11/78	Crain	358	93	07/26/76
8		4,100,569	07/11/78	Vlahos	358	22	09/30/77
9		4,179,704	12/18/79	Moore et al.	358	22	12/27/77
10		4,319,266	03/09/82	Bannister	358	22	12/19/80
11		4,344,085	08/10/82	Vlahos	358	22	12/04/80
12		4,386,363	05/31/83	Morrison	358	22	04/10/81
13		4,409,611	10/11/83	Vlahos	358	22	09/24/81
14		4,420,770	12/13/83	Rahman	358	183	04/05/82
15		4,521,196	06/04/85	Briard et al.	434	20	06/09/82
16		4,589,013	05/13/86	Vlahos et al.	358	22	06/13/83
17		4,591,897	05/27/86	Edelson	358	22	03/08/84
18		4,612,666	09/16/86	King	382	32	07/05/84
19		4,625,231	11/25/86	Vlahos	358	22	04/27/84
20		4,674,125	06/16/87	Carlson et al.	382	49	04/04/84
21		4,700,306	10/13/87	Wallmander	364	449	05/31/85
22		4,811,084	03/07/89	Belmares-Sarabia et al.	358	22	12/09/86
23		4,817,171	03/28/89	Stentiford	382	19	04/09/85
24		4,924,507	05/08/90	Chao et al.	382	31	02/11/88
25		4,950,050	08/21/90	Pernick et al.	350	162.13	06/19/87
26		4,970,666	11/13/90	Welsh et al.	364	522	03/30/88
27		4,975,770	12/04/90	Troxell	358	96	07/31/89
28		4,999,709	03/12/91	Yamazaki et al.	358	160	01/19/89

Examiner Initial		Patent Number	Issue Date	Inventor	Class	Subclass	Filing Date
	29	5,063,603	11/05/91	Burt	382	37	11/06/89
	30	5,150,895	09/29/92	Berger	273	29R	09/11/91
	31	5,179,421	01/12/93	Parker et al.	356	152	08/20/90
	32	5,184,820	02/09/93	Keating et al.	372	128R	11/30/88
	33	5,207,720	05/04/93	Sheperd	273	128R	03/25/92
	34	5,249,039	09/28/93	Chaplin	358	22	11/18/91
	35	5,264,933	11/23/93	Rosser et al.	358	183	01/28/92
	36	5,305,107	04/19/94	Gale et al.	548	590	09/20/91
	37	5,313,304	05/17/94	Chaplin	348	587	06/21/93
	38	5,343,252	08/30/94	Dadourian	348	586	04/15/93
	39	5,353,392	10/04/94	Luquet et al.	395	135	04/10/91
	40	5,398,075	03/14/95	Freytag et al.	348	590	11/19/93
	41	5,436,672	07/25/95	Medioni et al.	348	591	05/27/94
	42	5,459,793	10/17/95	Naoi et al.	382	165	09/02/92
	43	5,465,308	11/07/95	Hutcheson et al.	382	159	08/25/93
	44	5,469,536	11/21/95	Blank	395	131	05/21/93
	45	5,488,675	01/30/96	Hanna	382	284	03/31/94
	46	5,491,517	02/13/96	Kreitman et al.	348	581	01/19/95
	47	5,543,856	08/06/96	Rosser et al.	348	578	08/24/95
	48	5,564,698	10/15/96	Honey et al.	273	128 R	06/30/95
	49	5,566,251	10/15/96	Hanna et al.	382	284	05/31/95
	50	5,592,236	01/07/97	Rosenbaum et al.	348	586	06/01/95
	51	5,610,653	03/11/97	Abecassis	348	110	04/24/95
	52	5,627,915	05/06/97	Rosser et al.	382	219	01/31/95
	53	5,668,629	09/16/97	Parker et al.	356	139.05	09/25/95
	54	5,808,695	09/15/98	Rosser et al.	348	584	09/15/98
	55	5,862,517	01/19/99	Honey et al.	702	85	01/17/97
	56	5,892,554	04/06/99	DiCicco et al.	348	584	11/28/95
	57	5,912,700	06/15/99	Honey et al.	348	157	01/10/96
	58	5,917,553	06/29/99	Honey et al.	348	578	10/22/96
	59	5,953,076	09/14/99	Astle et al.	348	584	06/12/96
	60	5,977,960	11/02/99	Nally et al.	345	191	09/10/96
	61	6,014,472	01/11/00	Minami et al.	382	285	11/14/96
	62	6,100,925	08/08/00	Rosser et al.	348	169	11/25/97

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initial	Document Number	Publication Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Trans-lation Yes No
1	WO 95/10915	04/20/95	W.I.P.O.			X
2	WO 95/10919	04/20/95	W.I.P.O.			X
3	WO 97/41683	11/06/97	W.I.P.O.			
4	WO 98/24242	06/04/98	W.I.P.O.			
5	WO 98/24243	06/04/98	W.I.P.O.			
6	WO 98/28906	07/02/98	W.I.P.O.			
7	WO 00/14959	03/16/00	W.I.P.O.			
8	WO 99/38320	07/29/99	W.I.P.O.			
9	SU 1659078 A1	06/30/91	Soviet Union			
10	DE 41 01 156 A1	01/14/91	Germany			X

OTHER DOCUMENTS (Include author (if any), title, publisher and place of publication, date and pertinent pages)

1	Replay 2000 - The Ultimate Workstation for Sport Commentators and Producers, Orad Hi-Tec Systems, April 1995.
2	SailTrack, GPS Tracking System for Animated Graphics Broadcast Coverage of the America's Cup Races, 1992.
3	SailTrack Technical Overview, 1992.
4	Sail Viz Software Documentation, 1992.

Examiner	Date Considered
----------	-----------------

*EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

*1 = Copy not submitted because it was submitted in prior application SN /_____, filed _____, 20_____, relied on under 35 USC §120.

*2 = Copy not submitted because it was submitted in prior application SN /_____, filed _____, 20_____, relied on under 35 USC §120.